Effects of Nozzle Shape on the Flow Characteristics of Premix Injector Using Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)
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Abstract. The burner system is a patented, unique, higher-efficiency and fuel-injector system that works with a specially designed oil burner to create ultra-efficient combustion that reduces oil use, greenhouse gases and other harmful emissions. This research shows the injector nozzle geometries play a significant role in flow characteristics, atomization and formation of fuel-air mixture in order to improve combustion performance, and reducesome pollutant products from burner system. The aim of this research is to determine the effects of nozzle hole shape on flowcharacteristics of the premix injector by using CFD. Multiphase of volume of fluid (VOF) cavitating flow inside nozzles are determined by means of steady simulations and Eulerian-Eulerian two-fluid approach is used for performing mixing of Jatropha oil and air. Nozzle flow simulations resulted that cavitation area is strongly dependent on the nozzle hole shape. Conical hole with k-factor of 2 provides higher flow velocity and turbulent kinetic energy compared with conical hole with k-factor of 1.3 and cylindrical hole. The results show that the premix injector nozzle hole shape gives impact to the flowcharacteristics and indirectly affects the emission of the burner system.

Introduction
Global warming is a famous issue and also a major concern around the world. The reduction of harmful gases and improvement of burner system need to be a growing priority. The burner system is a patented, unique, higher-efficiency and fuel-injector system creates the ultra-efficient combustion that reduces oil use, greenhouse gases and other harmful emissions. In particular, mixture formation of biodiesel during early stage of burning is important process because ignition is controlled by physical process caused by multi-hole injection, air motion, chemical process of fuel decomposition and oxidation \cite{1,2}. Besides, premix injector nozzle geometries in the burner system play a significant role in flow characteristics, atomization and formation of fuel-air mixture in order to improve combustion performance, and reduce some pollutant products \cite{3,4,5}. Nozzle geometry of the injector has been studied experimentally and numerically. Benajes \textit{et al.} \cite{2} analyzed experimentally about the effects of conical and cylindrical nozzle orifices to the injection rate behavior of a common-rail fuel injection system with maximum needle lift on a cavitation test. Han \textit{et al.} \cite{3} found that the primary breakup region is relatively influenced by nozzle geometry when compared to the conical and cylindrical nozzles.In addition, velocity components act on the nozzle outlet plane are significant and appreciated since it contribute to the disintegration of the mixing fluids into droplets \cite{7}. It is reported that grid size has a significant effect on the convergence and predicted results \cite{5,6}. Obviously, conical nozzle with k-factor of 2 generates a sharp velocity graph to represent the highest velocity at nozzle outlet compared to another two nozzle holes. Implosions of cavitation bubbles inside the nozzle holes increase when the turbulence kinetic energy increases and contributes to the further break-up of the spray\cite{8,9}.Therefore, the aim of this research is to use CFD simulation to determine the influence of orifice hole shape by analyzing the nozzle flow characteristics of the premix injector.
Methodology

The injector model used in the simulation is based on the premix injector design used in the experimental work [4]. Fig. 1 shows the internal geometry of premix injector in which only one eight of the geometry i.e. 45° is used. This study involves three different geometries including cylindrical and a conical hole with k-factor of 1.3 and 2. This simulation work was conducted using ANSYS version 14.5 with computer i5 processor, 8GB RAM and NVIDIA 2GB graphic card.

The Governing equations

The following are the equations in conservation form for mass, momentum and energy which are used in the simulation.

Mass equation

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho u)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho v)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (\rho w)}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \text{ (dxdydz)}
\] (1)

Momentum equation

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho u)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho u^2)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho uv)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (\rho uw)}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \lambda \nabla \cdot V + 2\mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \right] + \rho f_{\text{a}} \quad (a)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho v)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho v^2)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho uv)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (\rho vw)}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \mu \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \mu \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \lambda \nabla \cdot V + 2\mu \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) + \rho f_{\text{b}} \quad (b)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho w)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho w^2)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho uw)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (\rho vw)}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \mu \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \mu \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \lambda \nabla \cdot V + 2\mu \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) + \rho f_{\text{c}} \quad (c)
\]

Energy equation

\[
C = \left[ \frac{\partial (up)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (u\sigma_x)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (u\tau_{xy})}{\partial z} \right] \text{dxdydz} + \left[ \frac{\partial (vp)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (v\sigma_y)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (v\tau_{xy})}{\partial z} \right] \text{dxdydz} + \left[ \frac{\partial (wp)}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial (w\tau_{xz})}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (w\sigma_z)}{\partial z} \right] \text{dxdydz}
\]

\[
= \left[ \left( \frac{\partial (up)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (vp)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (wp)}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{\partial (u\sigma_x)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (u\tau_{xy})}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial (w\tau_{xz})}{\partial x} \right] \text{dxdydz} + \left[ \frac{\partial (v\tau_{xy})}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (v\sigma_y)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (v\tau_{xy})}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial (w\tau_{xz})}{\partial x} \right] \text{dxdydz} + \left[ \frac{\partial (w\sigma_z)}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial (w\tau_{xz})}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (w\sigma_z)}{\partial z} \right] \text{dxdydz} + \rho f \cdot V \text{dxdydz}
\] (3)

\[
K_{\text{factor}} = \frac{p_{\text{in}} - p_{\text{out}}}{10}
\] (4)
The conicity of the nozzle geometry is classified based on the inlet diameter of nozzle, $D_{\text{in}}$, outlet diameter, $D_{\text{out}}$, and $K$ factor, where $K$ factor is conicity of the nozzle hole, $D_{\text{in}}$ is the inlet diameter of the nozzle in unit $\mu$m and $D_{\text{out}}$ is the outlet diameter of the nozzle in unit $\mu$m.

**Boundary conditions for nozzle flow simulation.** A steady multiphase simulation using Eulerian volume of fluid model was used in this work. The solid wall of the model was the basic boundary conditions that exist in the domain of fluid flow. The surface of injector was considered as stationary wall. Besides, the shear condition of the wall is specified shear to create friction for the fluid flow. Periodic boundary conditions are applied to the left and right sides of the injector and spray chamber wall. Inlet was selected as mass flow rate inlet. Once the mass flow rate enters the domain, the velocity of the fluid, pressure, or mass flow rate can be known. Furthermore, this case consists of two different mass flow rates for Jatropha oil and air. The pressure output at 1 bar atmospheric pressure was set at the surface parallel to the surface of nozzle exit and bottom of the spray chamber. Moreover, the boundary conditions for three different nozzle geometries cases were similar throughout whole simulation process.

**Results and discussion**

**Grid independence study.** The numerical result from nozzle flow calculation is tested by using grid independence study. This work is focused on the effects of flow along the cylindrical and conical injector nozzles. It is reported that grid size has a significant effect on the convergence and predicted results [5, 6]. Grid sensitivity is tested on the model with different grid sizes as shown in Fig. 2. The number is ranged from 24997 to 114555 elements which are directly proportional to the numbers of nodes by ascending the level of grid sizes from coarse to fine. The average values are calculated and required to compare with the original velocities for each set of different grids in order to obtain the percentage of errors between the simulated velocities and the average velocities. The most preferable grid is selected by obtaining the best fit of percentage differences to the average velocities. Therefore, medium mesh level with high smoothing is chosen which representing the mesh density of 47662 due to its overall errors are the lowest compared to others grid level. This meshing scheme is selected to be used in further simulations.

![Figure 2: Velocity inside the nozzle against the nozzle length with different mesh density](image)

**Nozzle flow simulation.** Fig. 3 indicates the velocity through the nozzle hole from the side view. The area of high velocity located usually is a cavitation zone. The red regions represent the peak velocity in the nozzle hole. Obviously, red region of the cylindrical nozzle (highly cavitation) is longer whereas the red region of conical nozzle (k-factor = 2) is the shortest. High velocity region (sudden rise velocity) for three different nozzles can be observed in Fig. 4 (a). The results show that the conical nozzle with k-factor of 2 has the shortest cavitating area based on the sudden rise of nozzle flow velocity. Other quantities that relevant to the nozzle flow such as radial velocity, turbulence kinetic energy and fuel volume fractions. Velocity components act on the nozzle outlet plane are significant and appreciated since it contributes to the disintegration of the mixing fluids into droplets. Obviously, conical nozzle with k-factor of 2 generates a sharp velocity graph to
represent the highest velocity at nozzle outlet compared to another two nozzle holes. Meanwhile, cylindrical nozzle generated less sharp shape of velocity graph at the outlet since its velocity is lowest.

**Figure 3:** Contour of mixture velocity in the nozzle for three different nozzles (a) cylindrical nozzle (b) konical hole k = 1.3 (c) konical hole k = 2.0

From the Fig. 4(c), it is obvious that the conical nozzle with k-factor of 2 flows inside the nozzle hole has the highest turbulence kinetic energy. Therefore, the conical nozzle with k-factor of 2 is more capable to reduce the cavitation inside the nozzle. Implosions of cavitation bubbles inside the nozzle holes increase when the turbulence kinetic energy increases. Besides, implode of cavitation bubbles contribute to the further break-up of the spray and it could leads to finer droplets of fuel which can fasten the process of evaporation of fuel. On the other hand, volume fraction of fuel increases in the nozzle hole when the k-factor of nozzle increased from zero to two. The fuel volume fraction for the conical nozzle (k-factor = 2) raises steadily at the area closer to the nozzle outlet as shown in the Fig. 3(d). This situation can be assumed that the fuel injection rate and total fuel injected increases.

**Figure 4:** Nozzle flow integral quantities. (a) Velocity, (b) radial velocity at nozzle outlet, (c) turbulence kinetic energy, (d) fuel volume fraction.

**Conclusion**

The analysis shows that nozzle shape strongly influences the flow properties inside nozzle orifice. Furthermore, it can be predicted that the spray injected from the nozzle outlet are also affected by
the nozzle flow characteristics. It is possible to believe that the concurrent phenomena are taken into account of few investigations. Cavitation also strongly reduced as the conicity of nozzle increase from k-factor of 0 to k-factor of 2. Therefore, the flow velocity at cavitating area and turbulence kinetic energy in the nozzle orifice rises from cylindrical nozzle to conical nozzle (k-factor =2). Besides, the increasing conicity of nozzle (k-factor = 0 to k-factor = 2) also encourage the fuel-air mixing in the nozzle and rate of fuel injection by increasing fuel volume fraction.
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