Behavior of Fire Exposed Concrete-Filled Double Skin Steel Tubular (CFDST) Columns under Concentric Axial Loads
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Abstract. This paper presents the result of an experimental investigation of axial behavior of concrete-filled double skin steel tubular (CFDST) columns exposed to high temperature under the action of monotonically applied concentric axial loads. The columns were exposed to ASTM E-119 standard fire curve until 600°C and kept constant for two different exposure time (i.e., 60 and 90 minutes). Failure patterns and reduction in strength, ductility and stiffness of CFDST columns are reported. Factors influencing the strength, ductility and stiffness of CFDST columns during fire exposure, i.e., exposure time, temperature of concrete core and temperature of inner steel tube, are also discussed.

Introduction

Concrete-filled double skin steel tubular (CFDST) was first mentioned by Shakir-Khalil in 1990’s [1]. Due to its superior performance than hollow steel structural section (HSS) and concrete-filled steel tubular (CFST) section, CFDST has been used in the legs of offshore platforms in deep water and in the structures which are subjected to ice loading [2]. Most recently, CFDST have been used in a construction of high-rise bridge piers in Japan [2,3] and as a transmission tower in China [4]. CFDST columns also have potentials to be used as columns in high-rise buildings. Therefore, many researchers have been studying this type of column at ambient temperature. However, limited studies have been found on effect of fire exposure on CFDST.

This study will focus on residual strength of CFDST columns subjected to ASTM E-119 fire curve until the temperature reaching 600°C.

Experimental Program

Preparation of Specimens and Material Properties. Nine CFDST columns with outer and inner steel diameter of 152.4 mm and 101.6 mm respectively were cast in an upright position (Fig. 1(a)). The thickness of outer and inner steel tubes is 4 mm and 2 mm, respectively. The space between the two steel tubes was filled with 30 N/mm² normal strength concrete. All columns were cured at laboratory condition together with six 100×100×100 mm cubes. Three cubes were tested after 7 days of curing and another three were tested after 28 days. The columns length are 600 mm. Details of all nine specimens are shown in Table 1. The naming system of specimens are as follows; the first number indicates the diameter of outer tube (i.e., 6 represent 6 inch or 152.4 mm in SI unit), the...
second number indicates the thickness of outer tube which is 4 mm and the last number indicates the maximum fire exposure time in minutes. Since there are 3 specimens per testing condition, each specimen was assigned another number (i.e., 1,2,3) after the last number to represent the number of specimens in each group. For example, 6-C4-60-1 represents the specimen with outer diameter of 152.4 mm, thickness of 4 mm and fire exposure time of 60 minutes. The last number 1 denotes that it is the first specimen of the corresponding group.

![a) Unheated specimens](image1)
![b) Specimen during cooling down process](image2)
![c) Heated specimens](image3)
![d) Test arrangement](image4)

Fig. 1, Condition of Specimen and Test Set-Up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimens</th>
<th>No. of Specimens</th>
<th>Dia. of Outer Tube [mm]</th>
<th>Thickness of Outer Tube [mm]</th>
<th>Dia. of Inner Tube [mm]</th>
<th>Thickness of Inner Tube [mm]</th>
<th>Concrete Strength at 28 Days [N/mm²]</th>
<th>Tensile Strength of Outer Tube [N/mm²]</th>
<th>Tensile Strength of Inner Tube [N/mm²]</th>
<th>Exposure Time [min]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6-C4-Control</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>152.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>101.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-C4-60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>152.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>101.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-C4-90</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>152.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>101.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Testing Regime.** The specimens were heated following ASTM E-119 [5] standard fire curve until 600°C in the fire furnace at Concrete Laboratory, Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM). Once the designated temperature was reached, the temperature was kept constant for two different exposure times, namely 60 and 90 minutes. In order to measure temperature of concrete core and inner steel tube, 2 thermocouple were inserted inside the concrete during casting and another thermocouple were inserted inside the steel tube before the start of heating process. The temperature of furnace, concrete core and inner steel tube were recorded during heating process. The furnace was programmed to stop automatically once the allocated exposure time was reached. The lid on top of the furnace was lifted and the specimen was left to cool down to ambient temperature as shown in Fig. 1(b).

**Test Procedure.** All control and heated specimens were subjected to monotonic concentric axial load until maximum failure load was reached. The specimens were tested at Construction Research Institute of Malaysia (CREAM) Laboratory using Universal Testing Machine (UTM) with maximum capacity of 2000 kN. Two vertical and two horizontal electrical strain gauges with 10 mm gauge length were places at mid-height of each specimens. Four Linear Variable Displacement Transducer (LVDTs) were placed at mid-height of tested specimens to measure any lateral movement in tested specimens. The set-up of the test is shown Fig. 1(d). Prior to testing, the specimens were capped with high strength epoxy. After the epoxy had hardened, the surface were grind to ensure that the contact surface of the specimens was even for better stress distribution during testing.
Result and Discussion

**Overall Behavior.** Generally, there is not much difference between both heated and unheated CFDST columns. This also applies to CFDST columns that are heated for 90 minutes. Even with an extra of 30 minutes of exposure, there are no significant changes in color and appearance of the heated columns. Fig. 1(a) and (c) show the condition of unheated and heated columns. However, the color of the sandwich concrete inside steel changed from grey to whitish grey which indicates that the concrete was exposed to temperature more than 300°C. Maximum temperature in core concrete is summarized in Table 2. Similar results were also observed by other researcher such as Short et al [6].

The failure mode of outer steel tube is an outward buckling near the tube end whereas, the inner tube failed by local inward buckling. The outward buckling of the outer steel tube is also known as elephant’s foot buckling which occurs normally in HSS especially those with small diameter-to-thickness ratio. There is no sign of global buckling in the tested specimens which is typically associated with failure behavior of long and slender columns. This means that all tested specimens can be categorized as short columns. As for concrete, the failure mode is crushing of concrete as a result of local buckling of the outer steel. The local buckling of both steel tubes and the crushing of concrete are more prominent in specimens exposed to 90 minutes of fire exposure time compared with those of 60 minute exposure time and control specimens. This is most likely due to the higher reduction of strength of all three components of CFDST columns after being exposed for a longer duration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimens</th>
<th>Core Concrete Temp. [°C]</th>
<th>Inner Steel Temp. [°C]</th>
<th>Ultimate Load [kN]</th>
<th>Disp. at Ultimate Strength [mm]</th>
<th>Secant Stiffness [kN/mm]</th>
<th>Strength Reduction [%]</th>
<th>Stiffness Reduction [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6-C4-Control</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1402</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-C4-60</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>1292</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-C4-90</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>1199</td>
<td>9.26</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Temperature Distribution.** Fig. 2 shows temperature distribution of one of the specimens from group 6-C4-60 and 6-C4-90. The furnace temperature measured from 4 thermocouples inside the furnace appears to be unstable. However, according to tolerances given in ASTM E-119 [5], this value is still acceptable. From both time-temperature curves shown in Fig. 2, there is a stable phase of the temperature in between 100 and 200°C. This phase is most probably instigated by vaporizing process of water into gases that occurred at temperature around 100°C. During this phase, the heat is used to change the state of water into gases rather than to elevate the temperature. This phenomena is also observed by other researchers such as [7] and [8].

![Fig. 2, Temperature Distribution of (a) 6-C4-60 and (b) 6-C4-90](image_url)
Effect of Fire Exposure on Strength, Stiffness and Ductility. According to ASTM E-119 [5], the critical temperature of steel columns is 538°C. Critical temperature is defined as temperature where steel loses 50% of its ambient temperature strength. However, in this study, CFDST columns were exposed to temperature higher than the critical temperature for 60 and 90 minutes, i.e., 600°C. From Table 2 and Fig. 3, the strength reduction of CFDST columns is only 7.8% and 14.5% from its ambient temperature strength (control). These reductions are still far from 50% reduction stated in ASTM E-119 [5] even though the exposure time exceeded 90 minutes with no protection. The results show that CFDST columns can still carry almost 85% of loads even after exposure at 600°C for 90 minutes. Low reduction of strength in CFDST columns without fire protection is due to the contribution of concrete that acts as protection layer to inner steel tube. The temperature of inner steel tube is only 508°C for 60 minutes and 550°C for 90 minutes exposure time when the test ended. Even though the temperature of inner steel tube of 6-C4-90 exceeded the critical temperature of the steel, it reached 538°C only after 79 minutes of fire exposure (Fig. 2). Therefore, it can still carry load until the ends of fire course.

Secant stiffness of CFDST columns can be calculated by dividing ultimate load with displacement at ultimate load [9]. As can be seen in Table 2 and Fig. 3, the secant stiffness reduced from 100% at ambient temperature to 11.3% and 36.0% after 60 and 90 minutes exposure time, respectively. The stiffness of fire exposed columns is expected to be lower than control specimens due to the deterioration of the all three elements in the composite column, i.e., outer steel, inner steel and concrete. After being exposed to fire, there are changes in both physical and mechanical properties of steel and concrete leading to reduction in stiffness of the materials.

Based on stress-strain curves shown in Fig. 4, it is evident that ductility of CFDST columns slightly decreased. However, the reduction in ductility is very small and can be neglected. As mentioned before, the small reduction of ductility is caused by the presence of concrete that acts as heat sink thus protecting inner steel tube from suffering severe damage due to fire exposure. Unlike outer steel tube that was directly exposed to fire, the damaged caused by fire is more severe compared to concrete and inner steel tube. The temperature of core concrete after 60 and 90 minutes of fire exposure is 514°C and 557°C, respectively. The onset of concrete losing its ambient temperature strength is at temperature of 300°C to 400°C. Based on Fig. 2, it is observed that this onset only begins after 30 to 40 minutes of exposure. Therefore, concrete is still able to carry load and at the same time act as protection layer to inner steel tube thus maintaining structural integrity of CFDST columns.

Conclusion

From the above discussion, the following conclusions can be drawn:

1) The strength reduction of fire exposed CFDST columns is only 7.8% for 60 minutes and 14.5% for 90 minutes fire exposure at 600°C. The percentage is still far from the 50% strength reduction expected once the steel reached critical temperature of 538°C as stated in ASTM E-119 [5].
2) The reduction in secant stiffness is 11.3% and 36.9% for 60 and 90 minutes fire exposure at 600°C, respectively. As for ductility, the reduction can be considered as insignificant. Both reductions are as expected for fire exposed CFDST columns.

3) The presence of concrete in between outer and inner steel tube is proven to be a great advantages to the CFDST columns since concrete can act as heat sink and protective layer to the inner tube.

![Stress-Strain Curves of Control and Heated CFDST Columns](image)

**Fig. 4, Stress-Strain Curves of Control and Heated CFDST Columns**
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