Study of Co-Ni-Al Alloys with Magnetically Controlled Shape Memory Effect
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Abstract. The methods of electron microscopy, resistometry and magnetometry are used to study ten (36-38)Co – (32-36)Ni – (27-30)Al (at. %) alloys. Cast coarse-crystalline and microcrystalline alloys made by melt spinning in a helium atmosphere are considered. It is shown that the martensite start temperature $M_s$ becomes 30-50°C lower as grains are refined to 1 µm. Replacement of 1 at. % cobalt by nickel and 1 at. % aluminum by nickel makes the temperature interval of the $\beta_2 \leftrightarrow L_1^0$ martensite transformation (30-60)°C and (100-110)°C higher respectively. The martensite transformation hysteresis is about 100 degrees. The melt-spun Co$_{38}$Ni$_{34}$Al$_{28}$ alloy with the transformation temperatures $M_s = 31^\circ$C, $M_f = -34^\circ$C, $A_s = -6^\circ$C, $A_f = 70^\circ$C and $T_c = 98^\circ$C is a material possessing the magnetically controlled shape memory effect.

Introduction

Investigations of ferromagnets possessing the magnetically controlled shape memory effect (MCSME) are directed to creation of new functional materials, in which a quick response to an external effect would combine with large reversible deformations. The best achievements in this field are related to the Heusler alloys Ni$_{2+x+y}$Mn$_{1-x}$Ga$_{1-y}$ [1]. An effort is made to expand the range of MCSME alloys. Properties like those observed in a classical Heusler alloy were detected both in structurally similar Ni$_2$MnAl, Co$_2$MnGa and Co$_2$MnAl alloys and in ferromagnetic alloys of fundamentally different systems, e.g., Fe-Pd and Fe-Pt or Co-Ni-Al and Co-Ni-Ga [2-6].

The nickel-aluminum paramagnetic L$_1^0$ martensite (Ni$_{64.66}$Al$_{36.34}$ as the classical $\beta$-alloys) is assumed to be a functional material with a high-temperature shape memory effect [7]. As nickel is partially (up to 50%) replaced by cobalt, the L$_1^0$ martensite in these alloys passes from the paramagnetic to the ferromagnetic state. The Co-Ni-Ga and Co-Ni-Al systems have a similar configuration of the boundary between the ($\beta$) one-phase and ($\beta + \gamma$) two-phase regions in the corresponding equilibrium diagrams. As the temperature rises, this boundary shifts perceptibly towards the two-phase region, predetermining the possibility that a supersaturated $\beta$ solid solution is obtained by quenching from a high temperature. As the cobalt concentration increases, the martensitic transformation temperature drops sharply, but the Curie temperature becomes higher; the Curie temperature curves do not coincide for the L$_1^0$ martensite and the $\beta$ austenite. The most interesting compounds from the viewpoint of their use as MCSME materials fall within a very narrow interval of concentrations (Fig. 1) [8].

The Co-Ni-Al system has long and widely been used as the basis of superalloys or heat-resistant sputtered coatings [9]. Co-Ni-Al alloys are appealing as MCSME materials since their plasticity is sufficiently high in the polycrystalline (PC) and microcrystalline (MC) states as the interval of the compounds we are interested in is close to the boundary between the ($\beta$) one-phase and ($\beta + \gamma$) two-phase regions (Fig. 1). These alloys can rather easily be plasticized by adding a small quantity of the plastic $\gamma$-component to the structure. The quantity of the $\gamma$-phase can be strictly controlled by the composition and the thermal treatment of the two-phase alloy.
Fig. 1. Isotherms of the Curie temperature ($T_c$) and the martensite start temperature ($M_s$) of $\beta$ alloys plotted in the equilibrium diagram of Co-Ni-Al [8].

Experimental

The objects of study were ten ($\beta + \gamma$) two-phase (36-38)Co – (32-36)Ni – (27-30)Al (at. %) alloys in the PC and MC states: $\text{Co}_{37}\text{Ni}_{34}\text{Al}_{29}$, $\text{Co}_{39}\text{Ni}_{33}\text{Al}_{29}$, $\text{Co}_{38}\text{Ni}_{34}\text{Al}_{29}$, $\text{Co}_{38}\text{Ni}_{32}\text{Al}_{30}$, $\text{Co}_{38}\text{Ni}_{33.5}\text{Al}_{28.5}$, $\text{Co}_{37}\text{Ni}_{35}\text{Al}_{28}$, $\text{Co}_{38}\text{Ni}_{35}\text{Al}_{28}$, $\text{Co}_{37}\text{Ni}_{36}\text{Al}_{27}$, $\text{Co}_{36}\text{Ni}_{36}\text{Al}_{29}$. The PC alloys were made by casting to a copper mold and subsequent quenching from 1200°C. The MC alloys were smelted of pure metals by spinning of the melt on a quickly rotating steel drum in a helium atmosphere. The crystallization cooling rate was not less than $10^4$ K/s. Strip samples 2 x 0.02 mm in cross section were obtained. The microhardness was measured under a load of 0.5 N using unetched metallographic sections of PC samples (after casting and after quenching) and cross sections of MC strips. The resistometric measurements were performed during linear heating and cooling of the samples at temperatures from −150 to 600°C. A Faraday magnetic balance was used to determine the temperature dependence of the specific magnetization of the samples at temperatures of (20-220)°C in a constant magnetic field of 0.5 kOe. The structure was examined in an optical microscope and by the method of transmission electron microscopy in a JEM-200CX microscope.

Results and Discussion

The alloys under study inherit a high hardness from the NiAl and CoAl intermetallics. The hardness of all the alloys in the cast PC state is within 4500-5500 MPa. Subsequent to annealing at 1200°C for 5 h and quenching in water the microhardness of the alloys was 5000±100 MPa and was determined by the microhardness of the ordered $\beta$ solid solution as the volume fraction of the plastic $\gamma$ solid solution was small. Subsequent to spinning the microhardness decreased to 4600-4800 MPa on account of the defectness (microporosity) of the thin cast strip. This micro defects did not allow estimating the standard mechanical properties of the alloys in the MC state as the strips were broken in the defective area at the stage of elastic deformation.

The analysis of the structure of the samples, which were cast to a copper mold and quenched from 1200°C, demonstrated that most of the alloys fell within the two-phase ($\beta + \gamma$) region at the boundary with the one-phase $\beta$ region in the Co-Ni-Al ternary diagram, while the $\text{Co}_{38}\text{Ni}_{32}\text{Al}_{30}$ alloy containing 30% aluminum belonged, on the contrary, to the one-phase $\beta$ region at the boundary with the two-phase ($\beta + \gamma$) region. The main phase component of all the alloys under study was an ordered $\beta$ (B2) solid solution providing a clear-cut tweed contrast (Fig. 2a). In other words, the martensite point $M_s$ in the majority of the cast PC alloys (except $\text{Co}_{37}\text{Ni}_{36}\text{Al}_{27}$ and
Co$_{36}$Ni$_{36}$Al$_{28}$) was below room temperature after quenching. The small $\gamma$-phase particles were observed on the grain boundaries in some of the PK alloys.

Subsequent to spinning the alloys passed to the MC state and the grain size decreased to 1-4 $\mu$m. At room temperature all the alloys, except Co$_{38}$Ni$_{34}$Al$_{28}$, Co$_{37}$Ni$_{36}$Al$_{27}$ and Co$_{36}$Ni$_{36}$Al$_{28}$, remained to be $\beta$ (B2) austenitic alloys highly supersaturated with transition elements. The point $M_s$ in the alloys with 28% Al was slightly above room temperature and up to 50% L1$_0$ martensite appeared in the structure (Fig. 2b).

![Fig. 2. Structure of the Co$_{38}$Ni$_{34}$Al$_{28}$ alloy after standard casting and water quenching from 1200°C (a); after spinning (b) [9, 10].](image)

To determine temperature intervals of phase transformations in the MC alloys, we measured the temperature dependence of the electrical resistance of thin strip samples and plotted curves showing the change of the relative electrical resistance during linear heating and cooling over the temperature interval of −150 to 600°C. (Fig. 3) [9, 10]. The electrical resistance of all the alloys varied almost similarly at positive temperatures. At temperatures of 315-370°C (the temperature correlated directly with the aluminum concentration of the alloys) a linear temperature ascent was replaced by the descent of the curve, which was due to the diffusion decomposition of the supersaturated $\beta$ solid solution. At temperatures of (480-500)°C the decomposition processes leading to the impairment of the electrical resistance were complete in all the five alloys and the electrical resistance dropped almost linearly with temperature in the new stable phase state during cooling. The electrical resistance of all the five alloys decreased by ~25% after the 20°C → 600°C → 20°C thermal cycle. This is explained by the transition of an unstable (after melt spinning) state to an annealed stable state.

Of greatest interest is the temperature dependence of the relative electrical resistance of the alloys under study over the interval of cryogenic temperatures. The left-hand branches of the curves exhibit temperature hysteresis loops characteristic of the thermoelastic martensite transformation: the B2→L1$_0$ martensite transformation is followed by a sharp rise of the electrical resistance, whereas the electrical resistance drops during the reverse transformation. The analysis of these loops by the tangent method allowed determining the characteristic martensite points: $M_s$ and $M_f$ are the start and finish temperatures of the forward B2→L1$_0$ martensite transformation; $A_s$ and $A_f$ are the start and finish temperatures of the reverse shear L1$_0$→B2 transformation (Table 1). For comparison the same table gives the martensite transformation temperature ($M_s$) and the Curie temperature ($T_c$) for the coarse-grain state [9-12].

In the MC alloys under study the concentration dependence of the martensite transformation temperature is qualitatively similar to the corresponding dependence in the PC alloys of an analogous composition. At the same time, the effect of the size of B2 austenitic grains is clearly seen. The reversible martensite transformation takes place at lower temperatures in alloys with ultrafine grains than in coarse-grain polycrystalline alloys. For example, the difference is 50 degrees in Co$_{37}$Ni$_{34}$Al$_{29}$, 30 degrees in Co$_{38}$Ni$_{33}$Al$_{29}$, and 51 degrees in Co$_{39}$Ni$_{32}$Al$_{29}$. If the aluminum concentration is constant (29 at. %) and the nickel concentration increases at the expense of the
cobalt content \((\text{Co}_{39}\text{Ni}_{32}\text{Al}_{29} \rightarrow \text{Co}_{38}\text{Ni}_{33}\text{Al}_{29} \rightarrow \text{Co}_{37}\text{Ni}_{34}\text{Al}_{29})\), the martensitic hysteresis loop shifts considerably to the right (30-60 degrees per 1 at. % nickel), but the decomposition start temperature of the supersaturated \(\beta\) solid solution changes little (345±5°C). However, if the nickel concentration increases at the expense of the aluminum content and the cobalt concentration is constant \((\text{Co}_{38}\text{Ni}_{32}\text{Al}_{30} \rightarrow \text{Co}_{38}\text{Ni}_{33}\text{Al}_{29} \rightarrow \text{Co}_{38}\text{Ni}_{34}\text{Al}_{28})\), the temperatures of the martensite transformation and the diffusion decomposition change to a much greater extent. For example, addition of 1 at. % nickel \((\text{Co}_{39}\text{Ni}_{33}\text{Al}_{29} \rightarrow \text{Co}_{38}\text{Ni}_{34}\text{Al}_{28})\) shifts the onset of the martensite transformation to the right by 110°C and the diffusion decomposition of the supersaturated \(\beta\) solid solution to the left by (20-30)°C. The width of the martensite transformation interval \((M_s - M_f\) and \(A_f - A_s\)) in the \(\text{Co}_{37}\text{Ni}_{34}\text{Al}_{29}, \text{Co}_{38}\text{Ni}_{33}\text{Al}_{29}\) and \(\text{Co}_{38}\text{Ni}_{34}\text{Al}_{28}\) alloys is between 45 and 75 degrees, while the full width of the martensite transformation hysteresis \((A_f - M_f)\) is 90 to 110 degrees. Thus, the replacement of 1 at. % cobalt by nickel and 1 at. % aluminum by nickel in Co-Ni-Al ferromagnetic alloys increases the temperature interval of the \(B2 \rightarrow L1_0\) martensite transformation by (30-60)°C and (100-110)°C respectively. The martensite transformation hysteresis is about 100 deg. wide.

Fig. 3. Temperature dependence of the relative electrical resistance of Co-Ni-Al MC alloys with a linear variation of the temperature (°C): 20→−50→20→600→20 [9, 10].

All the alloys under study were in the ferromagnetic state at room temperature. A Faraday magnetic balance was used to establish the temperature dependence of the specific magnetization of the samples over the temperature interval of (20-220)°C in a constant magnetic field of 0.5 kOe. The heating and cooling curves coincide for the \(\text{Co}_{37}\text{Ni}_{34}\text{Al}_{29}, \text{Co}_{38}\text{Ni}_{33}\text{Al}_{29}, \text{Co}_{39}\text{Ni}_{32}\text{Al}_{29}\) and \(\text{Co}_{38}\text{Ni}_{32}\text{Al}_{30}\) alloys, whereas the cooling curve is considerably higher than the heating curve (Fig. 4) for the \(\text{Co}_{38}\text{Ni}_{34}\text{Al}_{28}\) and \(\text{Co}_{36}\text{Ni}_{36}\text{Al}_{28}\) alloys during cooling over the interval of (70-20)°C. The observed hysteresis can be due to the contribution made by the reverse martensite transformation to the trend of the heating curve over this temperature interval. Cobalt has the largest effect on the magnetic properties: as its concentration increases by 1 at. %, the specific magnetization rises by 5.0 Gs cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\) at 20°C. Values of the Curie temperature (Table 1) also suggest a strong direct dependence of \(T_c\) on the cobalt concentration and its inverse dependence on the aluminum and...
nickel concentrations. A large value (near 100°C) of $T_c$ in the Co$_{38}$Ni$_{34}$Al$_{28}$, Co$_{38}$Ni$_{35}$Al$_{27}$ and Co$_{37}$Ni$_{36}$Al$_{27}$ alloys is remarkable.

Table 1. Martensite transformation temperatures ($M_s$, $M_f$, $A_s$, $A_f$) and the Curie temperature ($T_c$) for Co-Ni-Al MC and PC alloys (°C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Co-Ni-Al composition, at.%</th>
<th>MC alloys</th>
<th>PC alloys</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$M_s$</td>
<td>$M_f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>37-34-29</td>
<td>-53</td>
<td>-104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>38-33-29</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td>-142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>39-32-29</td>
<td>-141</td>
<td>$&lt;-160$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>38-34-28</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>38-32-30</td>
<td>$&lt;-160$</td>
<td>$&lt;-160$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>38-33.5-28.5</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>37-35-28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>38-35-27</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>37-36-27</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>36-36-28</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The presence of the classical SME shows up well qualitatively in strips of all the alloys, except the stable Co$_{38}$Ni$_{32}$Al$_{30}$ β-alloy, when they undergo bending deformation in liquid nitrogen. Thin strips, which are elastic in the austenitic β state, become plastic in the martensitic L1$_0$ state upon cooling in liquid nitrogen, withstand bending through 180° on a mandrel of diameter 1 mm, and fully recover their rectilinear shape when heated to room temperature.

First encouraging results were obtained on a specially designed installation for measuring the elongation of strip samples in strong magnetic fields (Fig. 5). A weakly loaded ($σ ≈ 40$ MPa) strip of the Co$_{38}$Ni$_{34}$Al$_{28}$ alloy in the two-phase (β+L1$_0$) state elongated approximately 0.01% (4 µm) in a transverse magnetic field of 15 kOe. The melt-spun Co$_{38}$Ni$_{34}$Al$_{28}$ alloy with the transformation temperatures $M_s = 31$°C, $M_f = -34$°C, $A_s = -6$°C, $A_f = 70$°C and $T_c = 98$°C is a material possessing the magnetically controlled shape memory effect.
Summary

Refinement of grains to 1 µm in ferromagnetic Co-Ni-Al alloys made by melt spinning decreases the martensite start temperature $M_s$ by 30-50°C. Replacement of 1 at. % cobalt by nickel and 1 at. % aluminum by nickel makes the temperature interval of the $B2 \leftrightarrow L1_0$ martensite transformation (30-60°C) and (100-110°C) higher respectively. The melt-spun Co$_{38}$Ni$_{34}$Al$_{28}$ alloy with the transformation temperatures $M_s = 31°C$, $M_f = –34°C$, $A_s = –6°C$, $A_f = 70°C$ and $T_c = 98°C$ is a material possessing the magnetically controlled shape memory effect.

The authors wish to thank S.V. Kositsyn, A.I. Valiullin and N.V. Kataeva.

References